



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,696

12/12/2003

Robert L. Memmen

085.10762-US(03-501)

1219

34704 7590 07/17/2008

BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.

900 CHAPEL STREET

SUITE 1201

NEW HAVEN, CT 06510

EXAMINER

HONG, JOHN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3726

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,696	Applicant(s) MEMMEN ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN HONG	Art Unit 3726	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID P. BRYANT. (3)_____.

(2) WILL SLATE. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: Neal (USPGPub 2002/0076573), JP 08209339, Carl, Jr. et al. (USPN 6754955).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Slate called to traverse the finality of the Office action mailed 4/28/08. After much discussion, Mr. Bryant agreed that the final was improper, since the rejection set forth in the final was not actually "necessitated by" applicant's amendment. Mr. Slate was informed that the finality of the 4/28/08 Office action would be withdrawn, but the time period for reponse still runs from the mailing date of the last action (4/28/08).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David P. Bryant/
SPE, Art Unit 3726

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required